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Abstract

Recent epidemiological data suggest the relation between hearing difficulty and depression is more evident in younger and middle-aged populations than in older adults. There are also suggestions that the relation may be more evident in specific subgroups; i.e. other factors may influence a relationship between hearing and depression in different subgroups. Using cross-sectional data from the UK Biobank on 134,357 community-dwelling people and structural equation modelling, this study examined the potential mediating influence of social isolation and unemployment, and the confounding influence of physical illness and cardiovascular conditions on the relation between a latent hearing variable and both a latent depressive episodes variable and a latent depressive symptoms variable. The models were stratified by age (40s, 50s, and 60s) and gender, and further controlled for physical illness and professional support in associations involving social isolation and unemployment. The latent hearing variable was primarily defined by reported hearing difficulty in noise. For all subgroups, poor hearing was significantly related to both more depressive episodes and more depressive symptoms. In all models, the direct and generally small association exceeded the indirect associations via physical health and social interaction. Significant (depressive episodes), and near significant (depressive symptoms) higher direct associations were estimated for males in their 40s and 50s than for males in their 60s. There was at each age group no significant difference in estimated associations across gender. Irrespective of the temporal order of variables, findings suggest that audiological services should facilitate psychosocial counselling.
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A large body of research has demonstrated that poor functional hearing is associated with poor mental health when considering conditions such as depression and emotional distress (e.g. Carabellese et al., 1993; Dalton et al., 2003; Gopinath et al., 2012; Kramer et al., 2002; Saito et al., 2010, Strawbridge et al., 2000). The mechanism underpinning this association is generally believed to be via social isolation. That is, the hearing problem causes communication difficulties, which make social interaction challenging, resulting in withdrawal from social engagements and hence a feeling of loneliness and depression (Strawbridge et al., 2000, Weinstein and Ventry, 1982). Some recent studies have observed that the association between poor hearing and poor mental health is greater for younger and middle-aged persons than for older populations. For example, in Tambs (2004), the association between measured hearing loss and self-reported symptoms on mental health, including anxiety, depression, self-esteem, and well-being [satisfaction with life], was investigated separately for men and women aged between 20 and 44 years, between 45 and 64 years, and over 65 years. Overall, the study found that a severe low-frequency hearing loss was more strongly associated with the mental health variables among the young and middle-aged participants than among the elderly. Keidser et al. (2015) reported greater associations between functional hearing and two multifactorial variables related to depression among younger participants of a large cohort of 40 to 70 years old. Nachtegaal et al. (2009) further reported differences in associations between functional hearing and psychosocial health factors in each age group. While poor hearing was associated with loneliness among the youngest participants (18-29 years) and there was no significant association between hearing and various psychosocial health factors among the oldest participants (60-70 years), poor hearing predicted higher levels of distress, depression, anxiety, and self-efficacy among participants in their 40s.
In an elderly cohort (63-93 years), Pronk et al. (2011) found that the relation between hearing and loneliness varied within specific subgroups. For example, self-reported hearing difficulty related significantly to social loneliness for those with medium/high income, those living with a partner in the household, and those not using hearing aids. Significant adverse relations between hearing difficulty and emotional loneliness were observed for men, those without cardiovascular conditions, those living with a partner in the household, and non-hearing aid users. Consistent with previous studies, no significant association was seen between hearing and depression in this elderly population. The variation in associations across such subgroups would also suggest that different factors may mediate (carry) or confound (distort) an association between hearing difficulty and mental health variables between age and gender groups. To our knowledge this has not previously been directly investigated.

The onset of hearing loss can occur at any time during a life span, but is more common in older adults. Epidemiological studies demonstrate a notable increase in the prevalence of hearing problems from about 55 years of age (Agrawal et al., 2008; Cruickshanks et al., 1998; Dawes et al., 2014). Although hearing problems are less prevalent in younger and middle-aged people, communication difficulties could have more severe effects in this population, as they could affect the ability to find and maintain meaningful work (e.g. Hogan et al., 2009), and to interact effectively with family members and friends (e.g. Hallberg, 1996; Kerr & Cowie, 1997); emphasising the sense of loneliness and social isolation. Even in a sample of the general population, Hawthorne (2008) found a higher proportion of socially isolated cases among younger (15-30 years) as compared to older (>60 years) participants. Social interactions are also important for the general well-being of older hearing-impaired adults, but this population may be more accepting of their hearing loss by associating it with being a natural part of the ageing process (Nachtegaal et al.; 2012; Tambs, 2014), and hence
of the consequences of experiencing communication difficulties. With hearing-impairment being more prevalent in the older population, the associated problems are possibly also better recognized and accepted among peers. Therefore, social isolation and related factors such as unemployment could be speculated to be factors that more likely mediate the association between hearing problems and depression among younger and middle-aged than older people.

Keidser et al. (2015) showed that people who reported higher levels of depression were more likely to have sought professional help for their condition. It is uncertain whether those who are being professionally treated for their condition are better or worse equipped to socialize and stay in employment than those who have not sought help. In either case, professional support with depression may confound an association between social isolation/unemployment and depression and ideally should be controlled for.

The aetiology of hearing loss generally varies between younger and older populations. While congenital hearing loss is mostly due to genetic problems, acquired hearing loss is mainly the result of an age-related degeneration of the auditory system (presbycusis), a disease of the ear, or noise exposure (Hogan et al., 2015). Presbycusis may be accelerated by such factors as life style (e.g. smoking), and physical disorders (e.g. cardiovascular diseases and diabetes) (Cruickshanks et al., 1998; Fransen et al., 2008; Gates et al., 1993; Kakarlapudi et al., 2003). When the hearing loss is related to other chronic disorders, the associated health problems may affect the person’s mental health more than the hearing problems. As comorbidity is more common in old age, physical health problems could be speculated to be a factor that more likely confounds an association between hearing problems and depression in the older population.
A hypothesis that poor hearing is associated with cardiovascular diseases is supported by data from various epidemiological studies (e.g. Helzner et al., 2011; Liew et al., 2007; Torre et al., 2005). The mechanism behind the association is suggested to be via micro- or macrovascular pathology that reduces the blood supply to the cochlea. In brief, poor blood supply to the cochlea disrupts the chemical balance of the inner ear fluid, which affects the electrical activity of the hair cells, resulting in poor hearing sensitivity. The association has been reported both in a middle-aged (40-59 year old) population (Rosen and Olin, 1965) and in older adults (>65 years) (Rubinstein et al., 1977), and thus cardiovascular diseases could be a confounding factor across a wide age-range.

Using data from the UK Biobank and structural equation modelling (SEM, a technique for analysing theoretical models of how different variables are related to each other), this study explores the potential mediating and confounding influences of such factors as social isolation, unemployment, cardiovascular conditions, and physical illness, on the direct association between a latent hearing difficulty variable and latent depressive episodes and latent depressive symptoms variables among people in their 40s, 50s, and 60s. The study further compares the strength of the associations between females and males in each age group.

**Methodology**

**Sample:** The UK Biobank offers epidemiology data related to health and life style on over 500,000 volunteers between 40 and 70 years of age. People in this age bracket living in the UK were invited to attend one of 22 assessment centres. At these centres, self-reported data were obtained through computerized, self-administered questionnaires and tests, using a touch screen as the response platform. An automated behavioral hearing test was included at a later stage, so only a subset of 134,357 participants aged between 40 and 69 years had
provided complete data on hearing and depression. The female-to-male ratio in this sample was 53.5:46.5, which is representative of the sample as a whole and of the 2001 UK census (see Dawes et al., 2014). Table I shows the number of female and male participants falling within each of three age groups; 40-49 years, 50-59 years, and 60-69 years, and the average age of each group.

Functional hearing latent variable: Two measures of functional hearing in noise, one self-reported and one behavioral, were used to create a latent functional hearing variable (Hearing). The self-report produced a binary yes/no answer to the question: “Do you find it difficult to follow a conversation if there is background noise (such as TV, radio, children playing)?” The behavioral measure was based on an English version of the digit triplets test (DTT) proposed by Smits et al., (2004). During testing, sets of three random digits were presented in speech-shaped noise under headphones to one ear at a time, and participants were asked to enter the three digits heard on a simulated numerical keyboard on the touch screen (forced choice). If the triplet was correctly identified, the noise level was increased; otherwise, the noise level was decreased. The resulting speech reception threshold in noise (SRTn) was the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) arrived at after 15 presentations. The SNR could vary between −12 and +8 dB. Prior to testing, participants adjusted the volume of the speech to their most comfortable level for each ear. All participants were tested unaided, and the best ear speech reception threshold in noise (BESRTn) was obtained for each participant and used as a continuous variable. For those who completed the test on only one ear, it was assumed that this was the better ear. A third available measure of functional hearing (a binary yes/no answer to the question: “Do you have any difficulty hearing?”) was not included in the latent functional hearing variable. This was partly because this question is less specific and appeared open to interpretation (for example, not everyone who agreed to having difficulty hearing in noise thought they had any difficulty hearing), and partly because when including
this measure, the structural equation models produced a negative error variance estimate.

Table I shows the distribution and mean values for the hearing measures by gender and age group. As expected, both measures showed that functional hearing difficulty increased with age.

**Depression latent variables:** Based on a factor analysis reported in Keidser et al. (2015), two latent variables were created for depression: one on depressive episodes and one on depressive symptoms. These latent variables are not directly related to any psychiatric conceptualization of depression. However, given that people having sought professional help for depression, relative to those who had not, show much higher levels of depressive episodes than depressive symptoms, the latent depressive episodes variable would seem to constitute a more debilitating form of depression than does the latent depressive symptoms variable, see Keidser et al (2015). The measures associated with the latent depressive episodes variable were: reported longest period of feeling depressed (in weeks); number of depression episodes (in numbers); longest period of feeling unenthusiastic/disinterested (in weeks); and number of unenthusiastic/disinterested episodes (in numbers). In Keidser et al. (2015), these four measures loaded highly on one factor, all with weights above 0.80. The mean and standard deviation values of the four measures are listed in Table I by gender and age group. Within each age group, females reported longer periods of feeling depressed and unenthusiastic than males, but a similar frequency of episodes. The lowest mean values were generally reported by older males. As all four variables showed high positive skew, they were log transformed (natural logarithm of \((x + 1)\)) for further analyses.

The measures associated with the latent depressive symptoms variable included: number of depressive symptoms experienced (out of six); number of anxiety symptoms experienced (out of six); frequency of feeling down (average rating to four questions on frequency of feeling depressed, unenthusiastic/disinterested, tense/restless, and tired); and satisfaction with life
(average rating of happiness with each of job, health, family situation, friendship situation, finances, and generally). The response options for frequency of feeling down were: Not at all; Several days; More than half the days; and Nearly every day. Scores from 1 to 4 were assigned to these responses. For the happiness ratings, the response options were: Extremely happy; Very happy; Moderately happy; Moderately unhappy; Very unhappy; and Extremely unhappy. Scores from 1 to 6 were assigned to these responses. These four variables (depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, frequency of feeling down, and satisfaction with life), which included measures of mood and emotionality, loaded more highly on a second factor with weights of 0.78, 0.63, 0.69, and 0.56, respectively; see Keidser et al. (2015) for more details. Table I shows the mean and standard deviation values of the four measures. These values tend to decrease (i.e. less depressed) with increasing age, with females showing slightly higher values than males on some of the measures.

Mediating and confounding variables: Two mediating and two confounding variables were considered in this investigation. The mediating variables were unemployment and social isolation. The binary yes/no measure of unemployment was extracted from a question on employment for which participants could select more than one answer: “Which of the following describes your current situation?” Response options were: In paid employment or self-employed; Retired; Looking after home and/or family; Unable to work because of sickness or disability; Unemployed; Doing unpaid or voluntary work; and Full or part-time student. Participants who gave contradictive answers; e.g. responded in the affirmative to both being in paid employment and being unemployed were removed from this investigation. Two measures of frequency of visits and engagement in social activities were consolidated to provide the social isolation variable. This is because it was assumed that depending on life style, some participants mainly socialized through interaction with family and/or friends, and others mainly through group activities. This assumption was confirmed by the two variables
being only weakly correlated. Participants indicated if they had visits from family or friends: daily; 2-4 times a week; once a week; once a month; every few months; or never or almost never - resulting in a score from 0 (daily) to 5 (never or almost never). Participants further indicated if they were engaged in sports club, pub/social club, religious group, adult education, or other group activity to produce a score from 0 (engaged in all activities) to 5 (engaged in none of the activities). The two scores were added to provide a total social isolation score of between 0 (highly engaged socially) and 10 (socially isolated). No one in the test sample reached the maximum score of 10 for social isolation, with only 0.1% and 0.8% of the total test sample producing scores of 9 and 8, respectively.

The two confounding variables included cardiovascular conditions and physical illness. Four measures of cardiovascular conditions were used to create a latent cardiovascular conditions variable. The measures consisted of binary (yes/no) answers to questions about having been diagnosed by a medical practitioner with heart attack, angina, stroke, and high blood pressure. The measure of physical illness was the number of the following illnesses diagnosed by a medical practitioner: blood clot in the leg (deep vein thrombosis); blood clot in the lung; emphysema/chronic bronchitis; asthma; hayfever/allergic rhinitis/eczema; diabetes; and cancer. As few confirmed to be diagnosed with more than 3 of these illnesses, the score of this measure varied from 0 to 3, with a score of 3 indicating 3 or more illnesses. Of the total test sample, 60% reported no illnesses, and only 1.5% reported being diagnosed with 3 or more illnesses. Hayfever and asthma were the most commonly reported illnesses by those in their 40s and 50s (11-27%), with diabetes and cancer being the most prominent illnesses (9-25%) alongside hayfever and asthma (9-22%) in the 60s cohort.

Finally, a binary yes/no measure of professional support was included, where an affirmative response indicated that a participant had at some point seen a general practitioner or a psychiatrist “for nerves, anxiety, tension or depression”
Table I shows the distribution and mean values for these measurements by gender and age group. Of note is that while the prevalence of cardiovascular conditions increased with age and was more prevalent in males, the number of physical illnesses were relatively consistent across age groups and gender. In comparison to the national unemployment rates, reported by the UK Office of National Statistics for similar age groups during the time period the UK Biobank data were collected (3.5-5.5% for 35-49 years; 3-5% for 50-64 years; 1.5-3.5% for 65+ years), measured unemployment rates for the female participants were lower, while the rates for the male participants fell within the national ranges.

**Structural equation modelling:** SEM is a multivariate technique that tests hypotheses about relations among observed (directly measured) and latent (inferred from several measures) variables using linear statistical models. The hypotheses tested usually serve to further our understanding of the processes through which independent variables affect dependent variables. The technique is often used in mediation analyses aiming to examine to what extent different variables intervene with the relationship between a dependent and independent variable of interest, such as depression and functional hearing in this study. The intervening variable may be a confounder; i.e. the direct relationship is explained by the intervening variable having an association with both the independent and dependent variables, or may be a mediator; i.e. the independent variable has an association with the mediating variable that has an association with the dependent variable. The hypothesized model can be expressed as a set of equations, including several unknown parameters, and fitting the model involves using the observed data to estimate the values of those parameters.

In this study, structural equation models were fitted to data using the diagonally weighted least squares method with robust standard errors and a mean- and variance-adjusted test statistic, often called WLSMV (Kline, 2011; Rosseel, 2015b). Ordered categorical variables with fewer than eight categories were treated as ordinal rather than continuous, and missing
data were handled using pairwise deletion. The fit of a structural equation model can be evaluated in several ways. A chi-square test is available with the null hypothesis being that the model is correct, so that a significant p-value indicates rejection of the model. However, the chi-square test has the characteristic (similarly to any statistical test) that if the sample size is very large then the null hypothesis is likely to be rejected even if there is only a very slight discrepancy between the model and the data. Since the sample size here was very large, we relied more heavily on other measures of model fit known as fit indices. The fit indices we considered were the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), which compares the fit of the model to a model that fits perfectly, taking model complexity into account, and the comparative fit index (CFI), which measures improvement in fit relative to the simplest possible model in which all variables are independent. According to Hu and Bentler (1999), an acceptable model fit is indicated by RMSEA ≤ 0.06 and CFI ≥ 0.95. The associations between variables are reported as standardized parameter estimates (b). When the absolute value of the estimates, or path coefficients, is less than 0.10 the association between variables is considered small. Absolute values around 0.30 suggest a medium association, and absolute values greater than 0.5 suggest a large association. The models presented here were fitted using the lavaan package (Rosseel, 2015a) in R (R Core Team, 2015).

Results

Figure 1 shows for each latent depression variable how the measured variables on functional hearing, depression, and cardiovascular conditions relate to the latent variables across age and gender. For both measurement models, the fit index RMSEA was less than 0.05 and the fit index CFI was greater than 0.96, suggesting that the models are acceptable fit to data. It should be noted that the latent depressive episodes variable is generally highly associated with all its measures (all correlation coefficients exceed 0.8) whereas the latent depressive symptoms variable shows particularly high association with the measure of depressive
symptoms (correlation coefficient = 0.91). In both models the latent hearing variable is more highly associated with the self-reported than the behavioral measure. The correlations between the different measures are listed in Table II.

The model investigated in this study explores the possible confounding influences of physical illness and cardiovascular conditions, as well as mediating influences of unemployment and social isolation, on the direct association between functional hearing and depression, see Figures 2 and 3. An increasing number of chronic health conditions have been significantly associated with increasing likelihood of feeling socially isolated and lonely (Hawthorne, 2008; Havens et al., 2004). As poor health could also be the underlying reason for unemployment (Hogan et al., 2009), leading to depression, the model controls for physical illness in the associations involving the two social interaction variables. Further, as suggested in the introduction, the model controls for professional support in the direct associations between social isolation/unemployment and depression.

**Depressive episodes:** Figure 2 shows the model for each age group when considering the latent depressive episodes variable. All models were rejected (p < 0.001), which was expected because of the large sample size, but the goodness-of-fit statistics showed a reasonable fit to data (RMSEA < 0.05 and CFI > 0.95). In each model, significant (p < 0.01), standardised path coefficients (b) are shown separately for females (F) and males (M). In all age groups and for both genders, poor hearing was significantly associated with more depressive episodes when all other variables in the model were held constant, although in all cases was the strength of the association somewhat weak (b < 0.2). The direct association exceeded all indirect associations in strength and was generally greater, on average, for the two younger cohorts (b = 0.15) than for the oldest cohort (b = 0.10). For the males, the path coefficient estimated for each of the two youngest age groups (b = 0.19 and b = 0.16) was
significantly greater than that estimated for the oldest age group \( b = 0.08; p < 0.02 \), see Table III. In the youngest cohort, the association was greater for males than females \( b = 0.19 \) vs \( b = 0.11 \), but this difference was not significant \( p = 0.14 \), see Table III. Of the mediating and confounding factors under investigation, only cardiovascular conditions showed a small significant partial confounding influence. Cardiovascular conditions had a medium to large association with poor hearing in the youngest cohort \( b > 0.39 \), while small to medium associations were seen in the other age groups \( b = 0.17-0.36 \). The association between cardiovascular conditions and depressive episodes was weaker (mostly small) and was negative for all groups. The negative association is a result of controlling for professional support, which has a large association with depressive episodes \( b = 0.67 \), on average). This means that for equivalent levels of professional support, people with cardiovascular conditions in this test sample were less depressed. Some other general patterns to note are that poor hearing is only sporadically and weakly associated with unemployment \( b = 0.10 \) for females in their 40s and for males in their 50s and weakly associated with social isolation \( b = 0.05 \), on average). Neither of these variables have a significant association with depressive episodes within equivalent levels of professional support.

**Depressive symptoms:** Figure 3 shows the models when the latent depressive episodes variable has been exchanged with the latent depressive symptoms variable. Again, all models were rejected \( p < 0.001 \), but the fit indices suggested an acceptable fit of data to all models, with RMSEA being less than 0.05 and CFI being greater than 0.96. A significant direct association between poor hearing and depressive symptoms is seen for both genders in all age groups when holding all other variables in the model constant. Relative to the direct associations between hearing and depressive episodes, the direct associations between hearing and depressive symptoms are significantly greater \( p < 0.01 \), see Table III. In all cases, the estimated path coefficients suggest a medium association between hearing and
depressive symptoms, with the greatest association estimated for males in their 40s (b = 0.36). The path coefficient for the youngest males is in this case not significantly different from older peers (b = 0.25; p = 0.06), or their female contemporaries (b = 0.28; p = 0.09), see Table III. Significant, but weak, partial indirect associations are evident in all models, including a mediating influence of unemployment for females in their 40s and males in their 50s, a mediating influence of social isolation in all groups except males in their 60s, a confounding influence of cardiovascular conditions in the oldest cohort and in males in their 50s, and a confounding influence of physical illness in males in their 60s. Note that in the models for which cardiovascular conditions has a significant association with depressive symptoms, the relation is positive, suggesting that cardiovascular conditions are associated with more depressive symptoms for equivalent levels of professional support. The association between professional support and depressive symptoms is also rather strong (b ≥ 0.46), although not as strong as for the same association with depressive episodes. Another difference between the models in figures 2 and 3, is that unemployment and social isolation generally have independent significant associations with depressive symptoms, but not depressive episodes, after controlling for professional support. For both the social interaction variables, the positive path coefficients suggest that people who are unemployed and socially isolated are more likely to report more depressive symptoms, irrespective of whether professional support was sought or not. The strength of these associations are mostly weak (b < 0.14) and similar across gender and age groups.

Subjective vs behavioral measures of hearing: The latent hearing variable used in this study loaded heavily on the subjective measure of hearing difficulty (see Figure 1). In Keidser et al (2015), it was established that reported hearing difficulty had a stronger association with depressive episodes than did the behavioral measure, while both measures showed medium associations with depressive symptoms. The SEM was repeated, replacing the latent hearing
variable with the behavioral measure of functional hearing (BESRTn). In these models, no significant direct or indirect associations between BESRTn and depressive episodes were seen. Significant direct associations between BESRTn and depressive symptoms were observed for all subgroups. The direct associations were very small, and similar across subgroups (b = 0.03, on average), but were greater than the indirect associations to suggest an independent relation between hearing and depression (as seen for the latent hearing variable). As data in this investigation are cross-sectional, the temporal order of the hearing and depression variables is uncertain. While it seems unlikely that depression would cause people to perform more poorly on a behavioral hearing test, it is possible that depressed people may have a more negative view on their ability to hear. To examine this further, the original models were repeated with the arrow between the hearing and depression variables reversed. These models showed similar path coefficients for the association between hearing and depression, with little variation to other path coefficients. This observation confirms the uncertainty about the temporal order of hearing difficulty and depression when the hearing variable is dominated by the subjective measure.

**Discussion**

Using the UK Biobank data and linear regression modelling, a previous study found a significant association between poor hearing and depression that was generally greater for depressive symptoms than depressive episodes, for younger (in their 40s) than older (in their 60s) participants, and for females than males (Keidser et al., 2015). The findings applied whether behavioral or reported measures of hearing difficulty in noise was considered. Based on the same data and SEM, this study explored whether a significant association between poor hearing and depression could be influenced by such factors as physical illness, cardiovascular conditions, unemployment, or social isolation, and whether any influence differed with age and gender. Creating a latent hearing variable from the behavioral and
reported measures of hearing difficulty, which loaded heavily on the subjective measure, SEM revealed that none of these potential confounding and mediating factors eliminated the independent and significant association between poor hearing and more depressive episodes or between poor hearing and more depressive symptoms for any one of three age groups or gender. As observed in Keidser et al. (2015), the association between poor hearing and depression was greater for depressive symptoms than depressive episodes; i.e. with a less debilitating form for depression. This observation holds when considering only the association between a behavioral measure of hearing difficulty and the two depression variables, and is consistent with findings by Lupsakko et al. (2002). However, while the linear analyses in Keidser et al. (2015) for both depression variables suggested a steady increase in the strength of the association as participants got younger and a greater association among females than males, the structural equation models using the latent hearing variable, stratified by age and gender, showed a more variegated pattern. There was still a trend for the association between hearing and depression to be greater among the youngest (in their 40s) than the oldest (in their 60s) cohort, which is in agreement with Tambs (2004) and Nachtegaal et al., (2009), but the difference in estimates was only significant, or near significant, among males, see Table III. Contrary to the analyses in Keidser et al. (2015), the models showed a trend for the youngest males to report notably higher levels of both depressive episodes and depressive symptoms than their female counterparts, but the difference in estimates did not reach significance (p > 0.09). The difference in pattern across the two studies could suggest that the indirect associations investigated in this paper reduces the direct association between hearing and depression in younger females the most. No age or gender effect was observed when considering only the behavioral measure of hearing difficulty in the models. In an older population (> 63 years), Pronk et al. (2011) found no significant association between hearing status and depression, but males with poor hearing
(whether measured subjectively or behaviorally) reported significantly higher levels of emotional loneliness than did females, lending support to a potential gender effect.

For participants in their 40s and 50s, the association between poor hearing and depressive symptoms was medium. Whether the association is driven by people experiencing more hearing difficulty when listening in noise being more depressed, or vice versa, the observation calls for further investigation into why the association is particular strong in the middle-aged population. In the UK Biobank sample, Keidser et al. (2015) found no remediating influence of hearing aid usage on the association between functional hearing and depression. If, in the future, other factors cannot be identified that may explain the association, then the observation suggests that additional services to hearing aid rehabilitation; e.g. counselling and communication strategies, are required to properly address the functional hearing problems experienced by the middle-aged population. The finding corroborates calls from other countries for audiologists to pay more attention to working-age clients (Danermark and Gellerstedt, 2004), and to be more active in identifying anxiety and depression in their clients and to assist them by working more closely with mental health professionals (Lindsey, 2016). There is also evidence that an offer of counselling is missed by clients. Examining the effects of acquiring a severe or profound hearing loss in middle age, Hallam et al. (2006) found that counselling/psychological therapy was the second most wanted service that was not received in the dealings with their hearing problems among this population. Providing psychosocial counselling to those who may need it the most could be challenging however, as males are typically less likely than females to reach out for help with emotional problems and depression (e.g. Möller-Leimkühler, 2002; Addis and Mahalik, 2003). The UK Biobank data support this notion with a higher proportion of females than males reporting to have seen a professional about depression (see Table I).
Of the influencing factors under investigation, only cardiovascular conditions seemed to have a non-discriminating and partial confounding influence of note on the association between hearing and depressive episodes. More factors showed partial influences in the models concerning depressive symptoms, with a trend for social interaction being a slightly greater issue among the younger cohorts and physical illness a greater issue for the over 60s as hypothesized in the introduction. The small indirect influences of other variables on the association between hearing and depressive episodes and depressive symptoms seen in this study may be influenced by the measurements largely being made up of simple binary variables and ordinal variables with a small number of categories. Each of the influencing factors and their relation to hearing and depression, which largely remained consistent with changes to the hearing variable and direction between hearing and depression, are further discussed below.

**Social interaction:** It is generally believed that hearing loss leads to communication difficulties that further leads to withdrawal from social interactions. While our models in agreement with other studies showed a significant association between hearing difficulty and social isolation (e.g. Hawthorne, 2008; Strawbridge et al., 2000; Gopinath et al., 2012), the estimate of the association was surprisingly small (b < 0.07). The small association may be explained by the social isolation factor in this study being related to quantity (frequency of contacts) rather than quality (satisfaction of contacts) of social interactions (Routasalo et al., 2006), which further suggests that hearing-impaired people are not so much physically withdrawing from social activities as they are finding their interactions with other people to be of low value. This issue may be worth exploring further. Social isolation has frequently been linked to depression (Hawthorne, 2008; Adams et al., 2004). In the UK Biobank sample, there was, after accounting for help-seeking, a significant association between social isolation and depressive symptoms across all three age groups and gender, but not between social
isolation and the more debilitating form for depression (depressive episodes). Again, the relation between social isolation and depressive symptoms was not particularly strong ($b < 0.15$), and was generally less than the direct relation between poor hearing and depressive symptoms. We note that Hawthorne (2008), who examined what factors led to perceived social isolation in a community sample, found that depression was a confounder for the association between hearing difficulty and social isolation. This observation supports our finding of an independent association between hearing difficulty and depression that is not greatly mediated by social isolation.

Employment brings opportunities for daily social interactions. As several studies have reported slightly higher unemployment rates among people experiencing hearing problems relative to the population at large (Gellerstedt and Danermark, 2004; Hogan et al., 2009), unemployment was investigated separately as a potential mediator of the association between hearing difficulty and depression in this study. Our models did not show a consistent significant association between hearing difficulty and unemployment. It is possible that data in this study were biased by the test sample that appears to represent a population with higher socioeconomic status than the general UK population (Dawes et al., 2015). It is likely that a measure comparing occupation with educational attainment would have been a better candidate for a mediating variable, as it is further evident that hearing-impaired people in employment are over-represented in less demanding jobs and among low income earners (Gellerstedt and Danermark, 2004; Hogan et al., 2009). That unemployment, which can lead to financial problems, is linked to higher levels of depression seems uncontroversial and has been demonstrated in many studies (e.g. Dooley et al., 1994; Montgomery et al., 1999). Results from our model support such findings by showing a significant relation between unemployment and depressive symptoms, but not depressive episodes, although the estimates
of the relation are less than half of the direct association between hearing difficulty and depressive symptoms for the two youngest cohorts.

**Physical health:** Comorbidity is more common in older people, and in agreement with Gopinath et al. (2012), who found an association between reported hearing handicap and poor health in a community sample of over-55-year-olds, our data showed a significant association between number of physical conditions and hearing difficulty in the two older cohorts, although the estimate of the relation was small (b < 0.09). Generally, in this test sample, physical illness had no significant association with unemployment or social isolation. The response rate to the call for participation among candidates for the UK Biobank resource was low at 5.4%, and it is possible that people with more and significant health problems declined the invitation to attend an assessment centre to provide data for the resource. As pointed out in the methodology, 60% of the test sample reported no illness and among the remaining participants, asthma and hayfever were the most frequently reported illnesses, especially in the two youngest cohorts. This, and the fact that participants were under 70 years of age, may also be the reason why the models showed only small (b < 0.05) and sporadic associations between physical illness and depression, whereas other studies have found that number of chronic diseases was a significant predictor of particularly depressive symptoms in ageing (>55-60 years) populations (e.g. Beekman et al., 1997; Adams et al., 2004). Seriously ill people may have identified themselves with the response options being “unable to work because of sickness or disability”, or “retired” rather than “unemployed”, and this may specifically have affected the measured relation with unemployment.

With a growing body of data showing that cardiovascular conditions are significantly associated with hearing loss (e.g. Helzner et al., 2011; Liew et al., 2007; Torre et al., 2005), the confounding influence of this disease was examined independently. Consistent with other recent epidemiological studies, our models showed significant associations between
cardiovascular conditions and poor functional hearing, with particularly large estimates of the association seen in the two youngest cohorts (b > 0.39). Because the blood supply to the cochlea is most distal at the apex, the insufficient blood supply following from vascular diseases is expected to affect low-frequency sound transduction the most. Low-frequency hearing loss is reportedly more prominent in women (Pearson et al., 1995), and at least two studies have found that the association between cardiovascular conditions and hearing loss is stronger in women than in men (Cruickshanks et al., 1996; Gates et al., 1993). Our models lend further support to this notion as the association was generally greater in the female (b = 0.36 - 0.47) than male (b = 0.22 – 0.43) population of the two younger cohorts. Despite the strong link between cardiovascular conditions and hearing difficulty, this variable did not fully confound the direct association between hearing difficulty and depression as our models did not produce strong relations between cardiovascular conditions and the depressive variables. While many of the models in Figures 2 and 3 showed a significant association between cardiovascular conditions and depression when holding other variables constant, the picture was inconsistent, with the association interacting with professional support in the Figure 2 models. In models investigating only the association between cardiovascular conditions and depression, the significant, but very small, path coefficients were positive. Previous findings suggest a bidirectional association between poor cardiovascular conditions and depression (Testuz, 2009), with the effect of cardiovascular disease onset on depression being much greater than vice versa (Kendler et al., 2009), and the increased risk towards cardiovascular conditions in people suffering from depression being due to some common pathophysiology (e.g. Maes et al., 2010). The contradicting and mixed picture seen in this study may be the result of the relatively small proportion of test participants, particularly in their 40s and 50s, reporting diagnosis with the more serious conditions of heart attack,
angina, and stroke (see Table I), and the lack of control of professional support in previous studies.

**Study limitations:** A strength of this study is the number of observations included in the modelling. However, as pointed out above, the response rate to the UK Biobank resource was low and the resource may have attracted a healthier and more affluent sample of the general population. The large-scale data collection structure further precluded the use of lengthy, but standardized and more robust measures, including those used to form the latent hearing and latent depression variables. Using SEM and data from the UK Biobank, Dawes et al. (2015) investigated the mediating influence of social isolation and depression on any association between hearing aid usage and cognition. In their models, hearing was based only on the performance on the DTT, and the social isolation and depression variables were based on single, binary measures of feeling lonely (“Do you often feel lonely?”) and depressed or down (“Over the past two weeks, how often have you felt down, depressed or hopeless?”). Their models suggest a slightly stronger direct association between hearing and social isolation than between hearing and depression, which is opposite to the observations reported in this study. This discrepancy between findings is likely explained by the actual measures used and their interaction with the factors controlled for in each model. Dawes et al. (2015) further found a relatively strong association between social isolation and depression, which in relation to our data suggest that their selected depression measure is a predictor of a less debilitating form for depression (depressive symptoms). Overall, the differences in observations between the two studies highlight the sensitivity of statistical modelling to the measures selected for inclusion.

**Future studies:** Data from this study warrant further investigations into the pathway from hearing to depression among middle-aged people. Particularly, findings from this study suggest that future studies should consider the value of social interactions and educational
attainment as mediating factors. There is also a scope for investigating how psychosocial
counselling is best integrated into current hearing health care models. Longitudinal studies
are required to make a firm conclusion about the temporal order of all the modelled
associations; particularly of the association between perceived hearing difficulty in noise and
depressive symptoms.

Conclusion

Hearing difficulty had an independent association with depression, especially depressive
symptoms, that was neither fully confounded by chronic illness nor mediated by reduced
social interaction, in a large community-based population in the UK. Irrespective of the
temporal order of the variables (which is unknown), findings suggest that audiologists should
be more aware of psychological issues. The younger males (40-59 years) showed the
strongest association when the hearing variable was largely driven by subjective reports. This
might reveal a need for urgent reviews on how to best encourage this population to seek
intervention; whether for depression, a hearing problem, or both. Possible caveats of these
findings include the use of a sample that may be healthier and more affluent than the general
population, non-standardized measures, and insensitive measures of interacting factors of
interest.
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Table I: Descriptive data by gender and age group. Mean values, with the standard deviation in brackets, are shown for continuous and ordinal variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Female, 40s</th>
<th>Female, 50s</th>
<th>Female, 60s</th>
<th>Male, 40s</th>
<th>Male, 50s</th>
<th>Male, 60s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>16,438</td>
<td>23,689</td>
<td>31,773</td>
<td>13,440</td>
<td>18,811</td>
<td>30,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing difficulty in noise (% yes)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BESRTn (dB SNR)</td>
<td>-7.9 (1.36)</td>
<td>-7.6 (1.45)</td>
<td>-7.1 (1.68)</td>
<td>-8.0 (1.34)</td>
<td>-7.7 (1.53)</td>
<td>-7.0 (1.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, longest episode (weeks)</td>
<td>9.2 (29.46)</td>
<td>9.3 (31.34)</td>
<td>8.4 (30.70)</td>
<td>6.2 (25.54)</td>
<td>7.4 (32.75)</td>
<td>5.9 (27.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, no of episodes</td>
<td>3.1 (17.99)</td>
<td>3.0 (20.28)</td>
<td>2.5 (16.45)</td>
<td>2.8 (17.85)</td>
<td>3.0 (20.60)</td>
<td>2.3 (18.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unenthusiastic, longest episode (weeks)</td>
<td>6.0 (25.70)</td>
<td>6.2 (27.71)</td>
<td>5.0 (25.26)</td>
<td>4.0 (21.78)</td>
<td>4.6 (25.48)</td>
<td>3.7 (25.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unenthusiastic, no of episodes</td>
<td>2.6 (22.10)</td>
<td>2.4 (17.93)</td>
<td>2.0 (17.46)</td>
<td>2.1 (16.05)</td>
<td>2.4 (21.00)</td>
<td>1.7 (16.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of depressive symptoms</td>
<td>2.4 (1.84)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.86)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.78)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.81)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.79)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of anxiety symptoms</td>
<td>Feeling down (score)</td>
<td>Unhappiness (score)</td>
<td>Unemployment (% yes)</td>
<td>Social isolation (score)</td>
<td>Heart attack (% yes)</td>
<td>Angina (% yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6 (1.77)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.75)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.70)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.81)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.77)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling down (score)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.59)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.57)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.47)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.58)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.54)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment (% yes)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social isolation (score)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.41)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.44)</td>
<td>4.7 (1.44)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.43)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.49)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart attack (% yes)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina (% yes)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke (% yes)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure (% yes)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of physical illnesses</td>
<td>0.5 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.74)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.58)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.70)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional support (% yes)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II: The correlations among the observed variables in the measurement models. Within latent variable correlations are shown in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements:</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
<th>7.</th>
<th>8.</th>
<th>9.</th>
<th>10.</th>
<th>11.</th>
<th>12.</th>
<th>13.</th>
<th>14.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Depression, longest episode</td>
<td><strong>0.78</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.76</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.63</strong></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Depression, no of episodes</td>
<td><strong>0.61</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.74</strong></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Unenthusiastic, longest episode</td>
<td><strong>0.82</strong></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Unenthusiastic, no of episodes</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.66</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.58</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.47</strong></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Anxiety symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.41</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.31</strong></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Feeling down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.51</strong></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Unhappiness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Hearing difficulty in noise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.20</strong></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. BESRTn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Heart attack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.71</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.30</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.29</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.32</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.35</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. High blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table III: The path coefficients (in bold) for the direct associations between functional hearing and depression by gender and age group, and the p-levels when testing for equality between coefficients estimated for each gender (column 4), depression variable (column 5), and age groups by depression variable and gender (bottom row).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups (years)</th>
<th>Depressive episodes</th>
<th>Depressive symptoms</th>
<th>p-levels (gender)</th>
<th>p-levels (depression)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-levels (age)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>40s vs 50s</th>
<th>40s vs 60s</th>
<th>50s vs 60s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40s vs 50s</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40s vs 60s</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50s vs 60s</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: The measurement models for a) depressive episodes and b) depressive symptoms. The numbers indicate the correlations between latent variables (circled), and between each latent variable and the measurements (boxed) that define it, and measurement errors (shown to the right of the measurements).
Figure 2: Models examining confounding and mediating effects on the direct association between functional hearing and depressive episodes by age and gender (F: female, M; male). Variables in ovals and boxes represent latent variables and single measurements, respectively. Only significant path coefficients are shown.
Figure 3: Models examining confounding and mediating effects on the direct association between functional hearing and depressive symptoms by age and gender (F: female, M: male). Variables in ovals and boxes represent latent variables and single measurements, respectively. Only significant path coefficients are shown.